

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ES-1
Proposed Project.....	ES-2
Alternatives to the Proposed Project.....	ES-5
Areas of Controversy	ES-8
Impacts Summary and Environmentally Superior Alternative	ES-12
I Introduction	I-1
I.1 Purpose and Intent.....	I-1
I.2 Legal Authority.....	I-5
I.3 Notice of Preparation and Scope.....	I-7
I.4 Format.....	I-10
I.5 EIR Process.....	I-11
I.6 Relationship to BART to Livermore.....	I-13
2 Project Description	2-1
2.1 Project Location.....	2-1
2.2 Project Goals	2-5
2.3 Proposed Plan Components	2-7
2.4 Buildout under the Proposed Project.....	2-16
2.5 Plan Implementation.....	2-18
3 Introduction to Environmental Analysis	3-1
Overview.....	3-1
Impacts Considered.....	3-1
Organization.....	3-2
Determining Level of Significance.....	3-3
3.1 Land Use, Population, and Housing	3.1-1
Environmental Setting.....	3.1-1
Impact Analysis.....	3.1-15

3.2 Traffic and Transportation	3.2-1
Environmental Setting.....	3.2-1
Impact Analysis.....	3.2-23
3.3 Air Quality	3.3-1
Environmental Setting.....	3.3-1
Impact Analysis.....	3.3-14
3.4 Energy, Greenhouse Gases, and Climate Change	3.4-1
Environmental Setting.....	3.4-1
Impact Analysis.....	3.4-14
3.5 Aesthetics	3.5-1
Environmental Setting.....	3.5-1
Impact Analysis.....	3.5-8
3.6 Noise and Vibration	3.6-1
Environmental Setting.....	3.6-1
Impact Analysis.....	17
3.7 Biological Resources	7-1
Environmental Setting.....	7-1
Impact Analysis.....	7-25
3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1
Environmental Setting.....	1
Impact Analysis.....	16
3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality	9-1
Environmental Setting.....	9-1
Impact Analysis.....	9-17
3.10 Utilities and Service Systems	10-1
Environmental Setting.....	10-1
Impact Analysis.....	10-10
3.11 Public Services and Recreation	1
Environmental Setting.....	1
Impact Analysis.....	6
3.12 Geology and Soils	12-1

Environmental Setting	12-1
Impact Analysis	12-14
3.13 Cultural and Tribal Resources	13-1
Environmental Setting	13-1
Impact Analysis	13-13
3.14 Agricultural Resources	14-1
Environmental Setting	14-1
Impact Analysis	14-6
3.15 Impacts Not Potentially Significant.....	15-1
Forestry Resources.....	15-1
Mineral Resources.....	15-1
4 Analysis of Alternatives	4-1
4.1 Background on Development of Alternatives	4-1
4.2 Description of Alternatives	4-2
4.3 Comparative Impact Analysis of Alternatives	4-6
4.4 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	4-56
5 CEQA Required Conclusions	5-1
5.1 Growth Inducing Impacts.....	5-1
5.2 Cumulative Impacts.....	5-4
5.3 Significant Unavoidable Impacts.....	5-15
5.4 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes.....	5-18
5.5 Impacts Found Not to Be Significant.....	5-19
6 References.....	6-1
7 List of Preparers.....	7-1
8 Agencies Consulted.....	8-1
Appendix A: NOP and Responses.....	1
Appendix B: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Data	2
Appendix C: Noise Data	3
Appendix D: Special-Status Plant Species Known or with Potential to Occur in the Study Area	4

Appendix E: Special-Status Wildlife Known or with Potential to Occur in the Study Area..... 5

Appendix F: Cultural Resources Studies within or Adjacent to the Planning Area... 6

Appendix G: Cumulative Projects and Plans..... 7

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Regional Context.....	2-3
Figure 2-2: Planning Area.....	2-4
Figure 2-3: Land Use Diagram	2-9
Figure 2-4: Land Use Diagram Change Areas.....	2-10
Figure 3.1-2: Existing General Plan.....	1-9
Figure 3.1-3: Current Zoning.....	1-10
Figure 3.1-4: Local Airport Policies.....	1-14
Figure 3.1-5: Proposed Amendments to the Airport Protection Area.....	1-19
Figure 3.1-6: Proposed Isabel Neighborhood Phasing Plan	1-28
Figure 3.2-1 Study Area and Study Intersections.....	2
Figure 3.2-2 Freeway Study Segments	4
Figure 3.2-4: Bicycle Circulation	16
Figure 3.2-5: Pedestrian Circulation.....	18
Figure 3.2-6: 2025 Near Term No Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours.....	33
Figure 3.2-7: 2040 Cumulative No Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours.....	36
Figure 3.2-8: 2025 Near Term Plus Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours	39
Figure 3.2-9: 2040 Cumulative Plus Project Traffic Volumes – Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours	43
Figure 3.5-1: Existing Views from I-580.....	2
Figure 3.5-1: Existing Views from I-580 (Continued).....	3
Figure 3.5-2: Scenic Corridor Policy Implementation.....	7
Figure 3.5-3: Isabel Neighborhood Scenic Corridor Policy Amendment.....	11
Figure 3.5-4: Maximum Building Heights in Scenic Corridor Amendment Areas.....	12
Figure 3.5-5: Key Scenic Vistas – Existing and Proposed Buildout	13
Figure 3.6-1: Noise Measurement Locations	5
Figure 3.6-2: Existing Airport Noise Contours.....	8
Figure 3.7-1: Land Cover and Habitats.....	7-16
Figure 3.7-2: CNDDDB Plant Occurrences within 5 Miles of Planning Area	7-17
Figure 3.7-3: CNDDDB Wildlife Occurrences within 5 Miles of Planning Area.....	7-18
Figure 3.8-1 Hazardous Materials Sites in the Planning Area.....	4
Figure 3.8-2 City of Livermore Wildfire Severity Zones	5
Figure 3.8-3 Livermore Municipal Airport Safety Compatibility Zones	21
Figure 3.9-1: Waterways & Floodzones	9-7
Figure 3.11-1: Part I* Crime Rate per 100,000 people (2014).....	15
Figure 3.12-1: Soils and Geologic Hazards	12-3
Figure 3.12-2: Liquefaction Hazard.....	12-5

Figure 3.12-3: Steep Slopes and Faults.....	12-7
Figure 3.12-4: Regional Faults.....	12-8
Figure 3.14-1: Farmland Classification	14-3

List of Tables

Table ES-1: Estimated 2040 Net New Development.....	5
Table ES-2: Comparison of Alternatives at Buildout	7
Table 2-1: Residential Land Use Designations.....	2-11
Table 2-2: Non-Residential Land Use Designations.....	2-12
Table 2-3. Estimated 2040 Net New Development.....	2-17
Table 3.1-1: Existing Land Uses in the Planning Area	1-4
Table 3.1-2: Existing Development Standards	1-11
Table 3.1-3: Phasing Program Summary ¹	1-27
Table 3.2-1: Intersection Level of Service, Existing Conditions	5
Table 3.2-2: Surrounding Transit Services, Existing.....	11
Table 3.2-3: Weekday Ridership, Existing.....	14
Table 3.2-4: Level of Service Criteria – Freeway Segments	26
Table 3.2-5: Level of Service Criteria – Signalized Intersections	26
Table 3.2-6: Level of Service Criteria – Unsignalized Intersections.....	27
Table 3.2-7: Daily Vehicle Trip Generation.....	30
Table 3.2-8: Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled.....	31
Table 3.2-9: 2025 Near Term No Project Intersection Level of Service.....	34
Table 3.2-10: 2040 Cumulative No Project Intersection Level of Service.....	37
Table 3.2-11: 2025 Near Term Plus Project Intersection Level of Service AM Peak Hour.....	40
Table 3.2-12: 2025 Near Term Plus Project Intersection Level of Service PM Peak Hour.....	41
Table 3.2-13: 2040 Cumulative Plus Project Intersection Level of Service AM Peak Hour.....	44
Table 3.2-14: 2040 Cumulative Plus Project Intersection Level of Service PM Peak Hour.....	45
Table 3.2-15: I-580 Lane Configuration in 2014 and 2025/2040, No Project Conditions.....	47
Table 3.2-16: I-580 Performance in AM, 2025 Near Term No Project Conditions	48
Table 3.2-17: I-580 Performance in PM, 2025 Near Term No Project Conditions.....	49
Table 3.2-18: I-580 Performance in AM, 2040 No Project Conditions.....	50
Table 3.2-19: I-580 Performance in PM, 2040 No Project Conditions	51
Table 3.2-20: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, AM Peak Hour.....	52
Table 3.2-21: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, PM Peak Hour.....	53
Table 3.2-22: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, AM Peak Hour.....	54
Table 3.2-23: I-580 General Purpose Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, PM Peak Hour.....	55
Table 3.2-24: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, AM Peak Hour	56
Table 3.2-25: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2025 Near Term Conditions, PM Peak	

Hour.....	57
Table 3.2-26: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, AM Peak Hour.....	58
Table 3.2-27: I-580 HOV/Express Lane Freeway Level of Service, 2040 Cumulative Conditions, PM Peak Hour.....	59
Table 3.2-28: 2025 Near Term Arterial CMP Segments.....	60
Table 3.2-29: 2040 Cumulative Arterial CMP Segments.....	62
Table 3.3-1: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data from Livermore 793 Rincon Avenue Monitoring Station.....	5
Table 3.3-2: Health Risk Inventory for Stationary Sources in and within 1,000 Feet of the Planning Area	8
Table 3.3-3: Federal and State Attainment Status for Alameda County	9
Table 3.3-4: Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	11
Table 3.3-5: Project-Level Emission Thresholds	15
Table 3.3-6: BAAQMD 2017 Clean Air Plan Control Measures Applicable to Proposed Plan.....	23
Table 3.3-7: Estimated Maximum Daily Unmitigated Operational Emissions for the Proposed Plan	37
Table 3.3-8: Health Risks from Major Roadways (ADT >10,000) Located In and Within 1,000 Feet of the Planning Area In Excess of BAAQMD Project-Level Thresholds	41
Table 3.3-9. Proposed Plan Carbon Monoxide Concentration Results (parts per million).....	45
Table 3.4-1: Alameda County Total and Per Capita Energy Consumption (2016) ^a	3
Table 3.4-2: Atmospheric Lifetimes and Global Warming Potentials of Key Greenhouse Gases	5
Table 3.4-3: Global, National, and State GHG Emissions Inventories.....	6
Table 3.4-4: City of Livermore Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories and Forecast.....	6
Table 3.4-5: City of Livermore CAP Reduction Measures.....	13
Table 3.4-6: Operational GHG Thresholds/Substantial Progress Efficiency Metrics for BAAQMD.....	19
Table 3.4-7: Estimated Operational Energy Consumption for the Proposed Plan	24
Table 3.4-8: Estimated Energy Consumption Efficiency for Existing and Proposed Plan Conditions.....	24
Table 3.4-9: Estimated Unmitigated Proposed Plan Operational GHG Emissions ^a	32
Table 3.4-10: Estimated Mitigated Proposed Plan Operational GHG Emissions ^a	33
Table 3.4-11: Consistency of Proposed plan with CAP Measures	38
Table 3.4-12: Consistency of Proposed plan with 2017 Scoping Plan Policies ^a	43
Table 3.5-1: Ground Contour Changes Allowed within Scenic Corridor Zones.....	5
Table 3.5-2: Exceptions to View Angles and Allowed Ground Contour Changes.....	6
Table 3.6-1: Definition of Sound Measurements.....	3
Table 3.6-2: Typical A-weighted Sound Levels.....	4
Table 3.6-3: Relevant Noise Measurement Data from BART to Livermore Extension Draft EIR.....	6
Table 3.6-4: Typical Levels of Groundborne Vibration	9
Table 3.6-5: Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	11
Table 3.6-6: Vibration Damage Potential Threshold Criteria Guidelines	11
Table 3.6-7: Vibration Annoyance Potential Criteria Guidelines.....	12
Table 3.6-8: Groundborne Vibration Impact Criteria.....	13
Table 3.6-9: City of Livermore General Plan Land Use Compatibility Guidelines for Exterior Noise.....	15

Table 3.6-10: Noise Levels of Key Construction Phases by Construction Type.....	20
Table 3.6-11: Roadway Segments with Project-related Traffic Noise Level Increases of 3 dB or More ...	24
Table 3.6-12: Roadway Segments with Project-related Traffic Noise Level Increases of 3 dB or More ...	27
Table 3.6-13: Operational Noise Impact Criteria for Noise-Sensitive Land Uses.....	32
Table 3.6-14: Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	35
Table 3.6-15: Screening Distances for Operational Vibration Assessment.....	37
Table 3.6-16: Noise Compatibility Criteria for the Livermore Municipal Airport.....	42
Table 3.6-17: Airport Noise Compatibility for proposed INP Land Uses.....	44
Table 3.7-1: Vegetation Communities in the Planning Area ^{1,2}	7-1
Table 3.7-2: Special-Status Plant Species with Potential to Occur within the Planning Area.....	7-7
Table 3.7-3: Special-Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur within the Planning Area	7-13
Table 3.9-1: Beneficial Uses of Surface Waters within the Project Vicinity.....	9-3
Table 3.9-2: 303(d) Listed Water Body in the Project Area: Arroyo Las Positas.....	9-4
Table 3.9-3: 303(d) Water Quality Objectives for Groundwater in the Project Area	9-5
Table 3.10-1: Landfill Summary.....	10-4
Table 3.10-2: Livermore Disposal Tonnage Trend.....	10-14
Table 3.10-3: Livermore Per Capita Disposal Rates Compared to Target Rates.....	10-14
Table 3.11-1: School Capacities and Enrollment.....	2
Table 3.11-2: Open Space Standards	4
Table 3.11-3: Parks, Plaza, and Open Space Area.....	8
Table 3.14-1: Farmland Acreages in the Planning Area by Classification	14-2
Table 4.2-1: Comparison of Alternatives at Buildout.....	4-3
Table 4.2-2: Car-Light Alternative Vehicular and Parking Ratios.....	4-5
Table 4.3-1: 2040 Cumulative Comparative VMT for the Alternatives.....	4-10
Table 4.3-2: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Level of Service Results for the Alternatives – AM Peak Hour	4-11
Table 4.3-3: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Level of Service Results for the Alternatives – PM Peak Hour	4-13
Table 4.3-4: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Freeway Results for the Alternatives – Westbound- AM Peak Hour	4-16
Table 4.3-5: 2040 Cumulative Comparative Freeway Results for the Alternatives – Eastbound -PM Peak Hour	4-17
Table 4.3-6: 2040 Cumulative Comparative CMP Arterial Results for the Alternatives – AM and PM Peak Hour	4-18
Table 4.4-1: Summary of Impacts for Alternatives.....	4-57
Table 5.1-1: Jobs to Employed Residents, in Planning Area, Existing and Projected.....	5-3
Table 5.2-1: Growth Projections Related to the Cumulative Context (2040).....	5-5